NCTTA BOARD OF DIR JULY MEETING MINUTES July 18, 2010 8:00 EDT

MEETING CALLED BY	Willy Leparulo
TYPE OF MEETING	BOARD OF DIRECTOR MONTHLY MEETING
FACILITATOR	Willy Leparulo
NOTE TAKER	Scott Ryan / Will Leparulo
TIMEKEEPER	Scott Ryan
ATTENDEES	Willy, Francois, David, Randy, Scott, Joe (Chris Wang out of town) No committee chairs present

Agenda topics

APPROVE MINUTES

WILLY

DISCUSSION	Meeting Minutes from 6.27.10 approved 4-0-0 with 3 non votes
------------	--

UPDATE ON DD'S AND COMMITTEES

	Ohio and Norcal look like they will be filled, got some interest from a number of people
DISCUSSION	still looking for recruitment, financial and other committees
	List on hub who best fits position

2011 CHAMPIONSHIPS UPDATE

JOE

Responses from CVB's in the following areas: Bloomington, Indiana, Hershey, PA, Kissimmee, FL, Eperton, Chicago and Presley (Atlanta) Conne WL – should be able to provide equipment where every we go ct conference could be a veritable Plan B in case these don't work out WI – talked to Ralph, explained that his bid would be under scrutiny	
--	--

ATHLETE QUESTIONNAIRE

RANDY

WI-randy put up on hub – deadline (has past)
Randy – make sure approved to send out
Balance between opened ended questions – fill out answer
Dd – specifics on what questions should be asked
Questionair based on rating selection 1 to 10
WI – how much can put in opened end question
Randy – don't know
Dd – guidelines / what type of questions suggestions
WI – rules at top
Randy changed it according to David and FC's questions/remarks; Randy will get with WL to get
survey out to as many ppl as possible
Language to be added by FC to allow for 3 people to win KS gift certificatesmust take care about
language to not repeat previous incident with Brad Kendle
Randy – did not want to offend any board members, get out to as many players as possible
Send to everyone – even grumpy ones'
WI – ready to move forward
Dd – move forward
Fc – fine with moving forward
WI – get with randy and get all contacts
Randy – gift certificate recommendation
what if a lot of people respond, where are resources
Fc – 3 or 5 number of awards
WI – fc create text to send to randy
Fc – put together and send to randy

FAX/CALL PLAN

DISCUSSION	DD- will research into getting extensions FC- not sure about its efficiency. Some board members do need a phone while others do not. dd — look into extensions — WI — open up- 1800 number for notta
	wi – open up- 1800 number for ficta

Have voice mail / call myself and joe

What are extensions

Call number/you have reached our voice mail, press number for individual

WI - where are extensions

Call usatt, press 3 for this press for 2 this etc.

Menu vs extensions

Every officer will have extensions

Dd – forward to ind phone with announcing phone.

Rk – how to know who is calling to answer correctly

Dd -

Fc - caller id/

WI – has caller id on call / will display who is calling / choice of transfer/forward to email

Only championship people should be calling numer

Fc - I understand with reservations

WI – ways to manipulate calls, generic numbers, is it worth for 29.00 per month

Dd to figure out extension

Jw – give it a shot, no staff so will help with communication, most likely will not break us

Fc – does it have call log, yes, journal for calls and call back

Jw – call history and can post listen to message

WI - move forward

REDEVELOPMENT DISCUSSION

WL- Motion to delete 8 team rule from Championship rules

stating that redevelopment will make this rule irrelevant

Specifically motion to delete this rule from NCTTA Champ rulebook:

c. ii "Divisions of size 8 or larger (division size is described in Section Section 5.4b of the NCTTA Rules and Regulations) will be eligible to qualify a second team to the Championships before teams from other divisions are considered." Championship rules will be winners of divisions

resulting in any rated wildcard team to be considered.

Justification: With redevelopment coming up this rule will be obsolete.

WL- 2nd the motion just like I seconded the motion previously BY CHRIS WANG

With the regional->sectional->champ format, this rule doesn't even make any sense, since every team must qualify through sectional.

The main counter point from last meeting was removing this rule hinders NCTTA growth.

Without this rule, teams won't go out and find the 8th team...etc.

This doesn't apply anymore with the league re-design.

Discussion:

FC question: We haven't changed now, why are we getting rid of it?

DD: agrees

FC: getting rid of it a year ahead

DD: Rules haven't changed yet, so why change it

FC: until we actually change our format, I am not seeing any logic why to remove this rule.

-why is it here now?

DISCUSSION

Willy: baby steps

FC: Needs more specific requirements to make any sort of motion change

DD:8 team rule is good choice DD: if it aint broke don't fix it

VOTE: 1yes NO: 5 no's

-Motion seconded by Chris Wang prior to the meeting from email

FC and DD vote against the motion

Reason: NCTTA hasn't changed yet to new redevelopment.

Motion fails 1-5-0 1 non vote

-WL continues questions about Redevelopment taskforce is to be created.

-WL will take the lead since no one else wanted to.

Randy Kendle offers up his position in the task force

-task force will be made up of DD's, coaches, committee people, athletes within College TT and BOD will have an active participation in choosing who this task force is within a jury selection voir dire kind of format

-Motion to eliminate 8 team rule (Willy/Chris)

wl - questions

. Motion – delete

 $Cw - 2^{nd}$ motion

Fc – why change now, we have not gotten rid of it

Dc - agrees

Fc – getting rid of it too early – year in advance

Dd - rules have not changed

Fc – don't eliminate rule until we change format

Fc - why vote on now?

WI – pass motion just so he can pass motion

Agrees with david livings

Why are we using a old rules that we will eventually remove, remove now

Fc - have not agreed on it yet

Dd – need to come up with rules now that we can agree on

Fc - if thought 8 team rules gave growth with current format, do not delete it until change

NCTTA SINGLES OPTIONS

-discussions of the different options

-WL says it is too late to come up with anything now, Randy, and Joe also chimes in to agree

-DD and FC would like to see if it is possible to do something now, a decision should be decided by Sunday July 25th.

-grave concern to force DD's to run a singles event in the next 90 days and not having registration up and running for this (WL)

Put deadline for responses on review- hub

Dd – redevelopment – 2011 / 2012

First 2 for this year/

WI – anything possible

Dd - what work would have to done for this year

WI - op 1/2 this year would be messy

Ralph – every one should be able to qualify

Jw – double dip in qualifying

WI - prevent them from doing

Rk – what are players qualifying rights/who can qualify if nctta or acui

Jw – do not agree with cross div qualifying

Rk – if we don't allow, we could have melting pot of 800 coming to qualifiers

Dd - has it been done

WI - has been done

Top 3 or 4 qualified out

Rest of them are qualifying in second tier

Put on hub, review and discuss

Dd - need to make decision quickly if we using it this season

Is proposal to be used this year?

WI - yes, but don't agree

Dd – don't understand 1st option ?

Rk – logistics are unreasonable

Dd – opt 1 is acui ok with this?

WI – acui would not like it

Jw -week of discussion

Opt 1 – not favored

Op3 – not close to getting done

Op 2 - best mix/beta test division

 $Fc-opt\ 1$ or 2 were not that bad

Voluntary or mandatory

WI - what rules

Rk - not on same day

WI - flexible with div/cannot hold one every single meet

Fc - has to be fair

WI - everyone study suggestions and give recommendations

Dd – need to do soon, this year?

WI – do something this year?

 $\label{eq:defDd-some} \mbox{Dd-some sort of change}$

Fc – this year everything same, all change for 2011/2012

wl- to late to change this year

fc - one decision this year. another for next year

by Sunday

wl - decision by Sunday/07/25/10

rk - discussed board election schedule

board member election should be staggered so do not lose core members

possible board term discussion

wl – david is always there

rk – spread it out to stabilize board.

EXTRA THINGS

DISCUSSION

DISCUSSION

Randy brings up to change bylaws to stagger board member years so that organization is not left high and dry. WL suggests he post up suggestions on the hub.